

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,024 03/31/2004		Raoul J. Belleau	T0529.70016US00	9189	
7590 09/29/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Edmund J. Walsh Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210			KOBERT, RUS	KOBERT, RUSSELL MARC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829	2829	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H·r	
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/815,024	BELLEAU, RAOUL J.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Russell M. Kobert	2829		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Discussions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the unique to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	<u>larch 2004</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	l53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage		
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail ( 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,024 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-21, drawn to methods, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.

II. Claims 22-24, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a plurality of methods such as those disclosed in at least claims 1 and 19. Moreover, the methods as claimed do not require a software program as described in claim 22.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2829

5. If Invention I is elected, further election of species is required as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (a) The species to which claims 1-18 are drawn;
- (b) The species to which claims 19-21 are drawn.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/815,024

Art Unit: 2829

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to the Office of the Attorney of Record on September

23, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not

result in an election being made.

7. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one

month(s) from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response

will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Russell Kobert whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1963. The Examiner's Supervisor, Nestor R. Ramirez, can be reached at (571) 272-

2034. For an automated menu of Tech Center 2800 phone numbers call (571) 272-

2800.

Russell M. Kobert

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2829

September 23, 2005

unh Nguye VINH NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

19/28/05